2/18

The views, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this document do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of agencies of the United States Government.

This document was produced by SDC in performance of contract _

SD-97



MFMO	SDC	
	a working paper	

System Development Corporation / 2500 Colorado Ave. / Santa Monica, California

LISP II PROJECT

FUNCTIONAL ARGUMENTS

. . . .

Abstract

This is the third memo of a series and contains the internal language, the compilation, and the source language.

TM	2260/000/00
AUTHO	R M. Levin
TECHN	M. Levin ICAL Standary
RELEAS	E S. M. Cooney
for	D. L. Drukey
DATE	2/18/65 PAGE 1 OF_4_PAGES

TM-2260/000/00

LISP II PROJECT

Memo No. 3

FUNCTIONAL ARGUMENTS

I. INTERNAL LANGUAGE

We note that in LISP II the special word FUNCTION serves as a combination of LAMBDA and LABEL, thus:

(LAMBDA (formal parameter list) (expression))

and

(FUNCTION (identifier) (formal parameter list) (expression)) are both instances of a (function).

When a (function) is transmitted in the mode known as FUNCTIONAL, certain free variables must be given their values at transmission time. The way to specify this is to write as a functional argument:

(IAMBDA (formal parameter list) (expression) (free variable list))

or (FUNCTION (identifier) (formal parameter list) (expression) (free variable list))

This is expanded by the MACRO expander into:

(CONS fn (ARRAYLIST $V_1 \cdots V_n$)) where the V_i are the elements of (free variable list), and where fn is the S-expression:

2

(LAMBDA <new list> ((LAMBDA <free variable list> <expression>)
 ((FROM g l) ... (FROM g m)}))
 or
(FUNCTION <identifier> <new list> ((LAMBDA <free variable list>
 <expression>)
 ((FROM g l) ... (FROM g m))))

In these expressions, g is a gensym, and the $\langle new list \rangle$ is composed of the $\langle formal parameter list \rangle$ plus an additional parameter which is g. Thus if $\langle formal parameter list \rangle$ is (A B C), then $\langle new list \rangle$ is (g A B C).

Finally, consider where the formal parameter is received. Suppose it corresponds with the dummy parameter H. Somewhere in this procedure we find (H $\langle \arg l \rangle \ldots \langle \arg n \rangle$). This must be macro expanded to ((CAR H) (CDR H) $\langle \arg l \rangle \ldots \langle \arg 2 \rangle$).

This scheme does exactly the same thing as the McCarthy-Wooldridge proposal does. The user never sees the macro-expansion.

II. COMPILATION

Compilation is straightforward. All necessary rewriting has been done by the macro-expansion. Functions need not be generated at run time. The only extra time required is that of loading the array with the local values of the free variables.

3

18 February 1965

4 (last page) TM-2260/000/00

৯

III. SOURCE LANGUAGE

Examples:

[@(X,Y); REAL X; GLOBAL Y; (expression); (free variable list)]

[REAL FUNCTION FN(X,Y); REAL X; GLOBAL Y; (expression); (free variable list)]