# TIME: Where Did It Go?

•

Alan Graham

IBM Technical Computing Dept 6FR/Bldg 32, mail drop 35A 1510 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304 (415) 855-4465

# Abstract

One of the most popular features of APL2 Release 3 is the performance analysis tool. It consists of a single external APL function, called TIME, that allows the user to extract relative timing information by function<sup>1</sup> or by line. Timing information may be extracted for selected functions or for all functions in the workspace. Monitoring may be selectively enabled or disabled.

This paper discusses the use of the *TIME* function and illustrates how cover functions can be used to customize and enhance the facility.

## Introduction

In the course of application development, developers may need to do-performance analysis. Often, performance problems appear in the form of an application that seems to run *too slowly* compared with the programmer's expectation. Are there critical sections of code that consume an inordinate amount of CPU time? In other words, what are the *hot spots*?

There have been several APL packages written that will monitor where the CPU time is used. PARAETO is one such package. Typically, such an APL timing package modifies your application to include code that looks at the accumulated CPU time (1+1+||A|I) in between the execution of each line. This generally works quite well, but because your functions are modified, subtle differences may be introduced. For example, if cover functions are introduced and the function call stack is queried ( $\rho || LC$ ) it will be deeper than expected. Also, there is always the fear that you will accidentally SAVE the modified application over top of your original.

Performance monitoring is something the *system* can do without modifying the user's code. The system knows when a line begins execution and when it ends. In APL2 Release 2, the hooks were put in place for the system to keep statistics during execution of functions and to initialize, enable, and disable monitoring. The *TIME* function was built as an interface and after some experimenting, it was included as part of APL2 Release 3.

## Overview

1

The *TIME* function is established in the workspace using  $\square NA$ . Processor 11 has been extended in Release 3 to access APL objects outside of the active workspace. From the user's point of view *TIME* looks like any other locked function.

3 11 DNA 'TIME'

If you don't get a 1 result from  $\Box NA$  it is probably because you already have an object in the workspace named *TIME*, you are not running on APL2 *Release* 3, or there is a problem with the installation of APL2.

<sup>1</sup> Throughout this paper the term *function* is used to mean defined function or defined operator.

NL TIME 0 initializes the timing facility for the functions in the name list NL. The name list may be a simple string (naming one function), a vector of strings, or a simple character matrix. If no left argument is given, the initialization applies to all the functions in the workspace (including TIME itself).

Internally, a pair of counters are appended onto each line of every function being monitored and set to zero.<sup>2</sup> They are used to hold the CPU time and a count of the number of times a line is executed. The counters of a function are deleted if any change is made to the function by editing or  $\square FX$  or if the function is transferred via )OUT or )COPY. For an external or locked function (such as *TIME* itself) the counters are appended only to the header.

*N-L* TIME 1 returns a four-column matrix with one row for each function in the name list that has accumulated some time or has been called at least once, sorted in descending order by CPU time.<sup>3</sup> If no left argument is given, TIME = 1 reports all functions that have have been called at least once.

The four columns are:

- 1. The number of times the function was called
- 2. CPU seconds the function accumulated (excluding subfunctions)
- 3. Percent of the total CPU time
- 4. Function name

On some very fast machines a function may execute so fast that a clock tick does not occur during its execution. Therefore, *TIME* may report a function with no accumulated accumulated time.

NL TIME 2 returns a five-column matrix with one row for each line of every function in the name list that has accumulated some time, sorted in descending order by CPU time. If no left argument is given, TIME 2 reports all functions that have used some time. The first four columns are the same as TIME 1 except that the fourth column is function name and line number. The fifth column is the line of code. For locked and external functions only a single row appears showing a summary for the entire function. (No Martha, you can't deduce details of a locked function by using TIME.)

NL TIME 3 returns a five-column matrix with one row for each line of every function in the name list, in ascending order by line within function. In other words, it is a function list with timing information. If no left argument is given, TIME 3 reports all functions that are being monitored.

**NL** TIME  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  3 deletes the timing information from the functions in the name list. If no left argument is given, TIME  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  3 deletes the timing information from all functions in the workspace. (Note: TIME  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  3 is how you clean up a workspace that has been accidentally :apl)SAVFd with the timing counters.)

TIME = 2 disables the time monitor, but preserves the counters. A left argument is not allowed.

TIME  $\neg$  1 enables the time monitor after it has been disabled. A left argument is not allowed.

2 FIME: Where Did It Go?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> TIME 0 is a mnemonic for zero the counters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> TIME 1 is a mnemonic for one row per function.

#### Sample Use

Below is a sample use of the timing facility against a function named ON that appends its arguments along the leading dimension. The workspace consists of the ON function and its subfunction MAT.<sup>4</sup>

```
V
        Z+Y ON X; \Box IO; N
[0]
       A put left argument ON top of right argument
[1]
[2]
        \Box I0+0
[3]
        Y+∓MAT
                 Y
                                       A
                                        character matrix
        X+=MAT X
[4]
                                       A character matrix
        N \leftarrow (+\phi_{\rho}Y) [(+\phi_{\rho}X)]
[5]
                                      A more columns
•[6]
        Z \leftarrow (N + [1]Y), [0](N + [1]X)
                                       A attach vertically
       1987-11-04 10.25.09 (GMT-8)
     Δ
     V
[0]
        Z + MAT X
[1]
      A MATrix given any array
[2]
        +(2=ppZ+X)/0
                                        A escape if already matrix
[3]
        Z \leftarrow ((\times/1+\rho X), 1+1, \rho X) \rho X A rows by columns
     ▼ 1987-11-04 10.23.54 (GMT-8)
```

To prepare for timing analysis bring in the application and the *TIME* function, initialize the counters, exclude the *TIME* function from being timed, set the print width and print precision, and accumulate timing information by running the application.

```
A start fresh
      )CLEAR
CLEAR WS
      )IN ON
                         A get application .
      3 11 DNA 'TIME'
                        A get TIME function
1
      TIME 0
                         A zero counters
      'TIME' TIME -3
                         A don't time TIME
      DPW+320
                         A no wrap please
      \Pi PP+4
                         A limit detail
      pZ+'TOP' ON (20 10pi100) ON 'BOTTOM'
22 30
```

To look at statistics on a function basis use TIME = 1. The result is sorted in descending order by CPU time. Functions that are not called are not shown.

Now we want more detail. Since the application consists of only seven lines of executable code, it is reasonable to look at all of them. In a typical application, you'd use an expression such as  $N+[\Box IO]TIME = 2$  where N is a small positive integer such as 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For presentation here some of the displays are truncated on the right.

	TIN	1E 2			
2	0.029	74.36	<i>on</i> [3]	Y+∓MAT Y	A char
2	0.002	5.128	MAT[3]	$Z \leftarrow ((\times / 1 + \rho X), -1 + 1, \rho X) \rho X$	n 1:017
2	0.002	5.128	ON[2]		
2	0.002	5.128	ON[5]	Ν←(+φρΥ)Γ(+φρΧ)	n more
2	0.002	5.128	<i>on</i> [6]	$Z \leftarrow (N + [1]Y), [0](N + [1]X)$	A atta
4	0.001	2.564	MAT[2]	+(2=ppZ+X)/0	A esc
2	0.001	2.564	ON[4]	X+=MAT X	A char
4	0	0	MAT[0]	Z+MAT X	
2	0	0	<i>on</i> [0]	$Z+Y ON X; \Box IO; N$	

The single line ON[3] stands out as taking the majority of the CPU time. Full line comments are not shown because they do not accumulate any time. The time reported for ON[3] excludes the time taken for the call to MAT, which is detailed in other rows. Therefore, we can conclude that the majority of time is spent in the Format ( $\mathbf{v}$ ) primitive. Notice that ON[4] is the same line as ON[3] except for the right argument instead of the left argument, but uses far less time. In this sample run, a left argument Format is relatively expensive compared with a right argument Format. Formatting a simple integer matrix, although fairly fast, is much more expensive than formatting a character array (which is a no-op!).

TIME 3				-		
4	0	0	MAT[0]	Z←MAT X		
0	٢.	0	MAT[1]	A MATrix given any arra	У	
4	0.001	2.564	MAT[2]	$\rightarrow (2 = \rho \rho Z + X) / 0$	Ē P	esc
2	0.002	5.128	MAT[3]	$Z + ((\times / 1 + \rho X), -1 + 1, \rho X) \rho X$	P	1.04
2	0	0	<i>ON</i> [0]	Z+Y ON X; DIO; N		
0	0	0	ON[1]	A put left argument ON	top	o of
2	0.002	5.128	ON[2]			
2	0.029	74.36	ON[3]	Y+∓MAT Y	Α	char
2	0.001	2.564	ON[4]	X+*MAT X	A	char
2	0.002	5.128	ON[5]	$N \leftarrow (+\phi_p Y) [(+\phi_p X)]$	A	more
2	0.002	5.128	ON[6]	Z + (N + [1]Y), [0](N + [1]X)	A	atta

Listing all lines of both functions, we notice that prologue comments not only consume zero time, but actually never execute! The APL2 interpreter begins execution on the first non-comment line of a function.

## **TOP: A Simple Set of TIME Cover Functions**

Although the *TIME* function is fairly easy to use directly, it is provided as more of a tool than an end-user report function. The most common problem is getting too much information. Executing *TIME* 2 on an application of 1,000 lines of code will produce a matrix of up to 1,000 rows! The CPU times and percents will display with up to  $\square FP$  (usually 10) digits of precision, although typically only four digits are significant. Cover functions can be built to take this matrix and select only the top few slow functions or lines.

TOP is a simple set of four cover functions that allow an application's *hot spots* to be quickly discovered and neatly displayed with titles and summaries. The functions are shown in the appendix.

Initialize and run the CROSS application.

)CLEAR		A	A start fresh		
CLEAR	WS				
	)IN CROSS	A	get	application	
	)IN TOP	A	get	TIME, TOP, etc.	
	TIME 0		•		
	CROSS 'CROSS'	A	run	application	

Show all functions called.

2	TOP FNS	5	
COUNT	TIME	PERCENT	PROGRAM
86	1.231	43.78	IDENTS
97	.460	16.36	ON
13	.399	14.19	NAMES
14	.307	10.92	CRO <u>SS</u>
15	.149	5.30	DETAIL
28	.113	4.02	MEMBER
27	.098	3.49	ROWS
13	.049	1.74	∆ <i>SS</i>
1	.004	.14	CROSS
2	.002	.07	UNQUOTE
296	2.812	100.00	

Slowest four functions and the slowest four lines.

2	TOP 4	ENS		
COUNT	TIME	PERCENT	PROGRAM	
86	1.231	43.78	IDENTS	
97	.460	16.36	ON	
13	.399	14.19	NAMES	~
14	.307	10.92	CRO <u>SS</u>	
210	2.397	85.24		
2	TOP 4 I	LNS		
COUNT	TIME	PERCENT	PROGRAM	LINE
86	.300	10.67	IDENTS[16]	$Z + (\rho A) \rho (A + A \circ 2 10 \Gamma / A$
84	.252	8.96	NAMES[15]	$Z\Delta \leftarrow (\vee \neq < \backslash Z\Delta \land . = \otimes Z\Delta) \neq Z\Delta \leftarrow$
15	.212	7.54	<i>CRO<u>S</u>S</i> [15]	□+ <u>OV, PE</u> ,(( <u>EE</u> MEMBER <u>I</u>
86	.168	5.97	<i>IDENTS</i> [6]	$QS \leftarrow (\phi(-Q)\phi \land \land (Q \leftarrow 1 \leftarrow (\phi B)))$
271	.932	33.14		

Percent of total time.

.

<i>TOP</i> 33	PERCENT	LNS	
COUNT TIME	PERCENT	PROGRAM	LINE
86 .300	10.67	IDENTS[16]	$Z \leftarrow (pA)p(A \leftarrow A \circ . \geq 10 \lceil f / A$
84 .252	8.96	NAMES[15]	$Z\Delta + (\vee \neq < \backslash Z\Delta \land . = \Diamond Z\Delta) \neq Z\Delta +$
15 .212	7.54	<i>CRO<u>Ş</u>S</i> [15]	□+QV, PE, ((PE MEMBER 1
86 .168	5.97	IDENTS[6]	<i>QS</i> +(\$
271 .932	33.14		
<i>TOP</i> 50	PERCENT	FNS	
COUNT TIME	PERCENT	PROGRAM	
86 1.231	43.78	IDENTS	
97.460	16.36	ON	
183 1.691	60.14		

## Conclusions

APL2 Release 3 includes a powerful time monitor tool, the *TIME* function. It can be used either directly or with cover functions to find an application's *hot spots*. It is not uncommon to get performance improvements of 50% after modifying one or two lines of code found to be particularly CPU intensive.

# References

- 1. IBM Corporation, APL2 Programming: Using the Supplied Routines, S1120-9233
- 2. IBM Corporation, APL2 Programming: System Services Reference, SII20-9218

6 ITME: Where Did It Go?

.

.

-

.

,

# **TOP** functions

Report TOP (Slowest) Functions

```
TOP X; \Box IO; \Box FW; N; H; F; T
 [0]
 [1]
        A TOP (slowest) few lines or fns (or ops)

      A syntax:
      TOP [n|n FERCENT] (LNS|FNS)

      A example:
      TOP FNS
      A all fill

      A example:
      TOP 5 LNS
      A slowe

      A example:
      TOP 50 FERCENT FNS
      A fins u

 [2]
 [3]
                                                         A all functions
 [4]
                                                         A slowest 5 lines
 [5]
                                                        A fns up thru 50%
        A attributes: 0 1 0 1 DFX DCR 'TOP'
 [6]
. [7]
          □I0+0
                                                    A zero origin
          □PW+320
                                                    A don't wrap display
 [8]
 [9]
          +(2=\rho\rho X)/L1
                                                    A just timing matrix?
         (N X) + X
 [10]
                                                    A row-count, matrix
 [11]
          X \leftarrow (N \downarrow + \rho X) + [0] X
                                                    A top N rows
 [12] L1:
                                                    A format with header/totals
         H+(+1+oX)+'COUNT' ' TIME' 'PERCENT' 'PROGRAM' 'LINE'
 [13]
          F+(2×pH)+0 0 0 3 0 2
 [14]
                                                    A Format vector
          T + (\rho H) + (+ \neq 3 + [1]X), '' ''
                                                    A Totals
 [15]
 [16] \quad \Box \leftarrow F \neq H, [0] X, [0] T
                                                    A format and display
       ▼ 1987-10-30 16.34.06 (GMT-8)
```

Timing Matrix by Lines or Functions

Δ [0]  $Z^- + LNS; US^-$ A LiNeS with non-zero times or counts [1] US<sup>+</sup>+'TOP' 'LNS' 'FNS' 'PERCENT' 'TIME' [2] A my fns  $\rightarrow 0 \rho US^{-} TIME^{-} 3$ A don't monitor us [3]  $Z^+ + TIME 2$ A all lines monitored [4] ∇ 1987-10-30 15.47.00 (GMT-8) V  $Z^+ + FNS : US^-$ [0] A FNS (and ops) with non-zero times or counts US<sup>+</sup>'TOP' 'LNS' 'FNS' 'PERCENT' 'TIME' A m +OpUS<sup>-</sup>TIME<sup>-</sup>3 A don't monitor us [1] [2] A my fns A don't monitor us [3]  $Z^{-} + TIME 1$ A all fns and ops monitored [4] ▼ 1987-10-30 15.48.51 (GMT-8)

Sclects N Percent of Total Time

```
V
[0]
       Z+N PERCENT X; DIO
     A select N percent of the slowest from timing matrix
[1]
[2]
     A attributes: 0 1 0 0 DFX DCR 'PERCENT'
      \Box I0+0
[3]
                                           A zero origin
      \Box ES(0=\Box NC 'N')/5 1
[4]
                                           A must be dyadic
[5]
       Z+((+\rho X) \lfloor 1++/N > + \backslash X[;2]) + [0]X
                                         A slowest thru N percent
    ∇ 1987-10-30 16.34.18 (GMT-8)
```